arXiv:1110.1135v1 [math.PR] 6 Oct 2011

ON INTEGRABILITY OF QUADRATIC HARNESSES

WLODEK BRYC

Abstract. We investigate integrability properties of processes with linear regressions and quadratic conditional variances. We establish the right order of dependence of which moments are finite on the parameter $\sigma\tau$ defined below, raising the question of determining the optimal constant.

1. Introduction and main results

The study of processes with linear regression and quadratic conditional variances was initiated by Plucińska [Plucińska(1983)] who gave a characterization of the Wiener process in terms of conditional means and conditional variances. This approach was extended by several authors in many directions, sometimes with a trade-off between the amount of conditioning assumed and how much information is available about the covariance. Of the early generalizations, we mention here the work of Szabłowski [Szabłowski(1989)] who extracts information from minimal assumptions on conditioning, provided that the covariance is smooth. In his influential work Wesołowski [Wesołowski(1993)] characterized processes whose conditional variances is an arbitrary quadratic polynomial in the increments of the process; besides the Wiener process, such processes turned out to be either the Poisson process, or the negative binomial process, or the gamma process, or the hyperbolic secant process.

Processes with conditional variances given by more general quadratic polynomials have been analyzed in a series of papers that started with [Bryc(2001)], and culminated with Askey-Wilson polynomials in [Bryc and Wesołowski(2010)]. In these papers, the authors used algebraic techniques to study associated orthogonal polynomials, and to identify and construct a number of more exotic Markov processes with linear regressions and quadratic conditional variances.

The technique of orthogonal polynomials relies on apriori information about the existence of moments of all orders. Integrability can be deduced from assumptions on conditional variances, see [Bryc and Plucińska(1985)], [Wesołowski(1993)], and more recently [Bryc et al.(2007), Theorem 2.5]. Unfortunately, available integrability results do not cover all possible quadratic conditional variances, and in fact they do not use the full power of the "quadratic harness condition" (3.1) and (3.2) at all. Instead, they are based on the following weaker assumptions which appeared in [Bryc et al.(2007), (2.7),(2.8)(2.27), (2.28)]:

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t) = 0, \mathbb{E}(X_t, X_s) = \min\{s, t\} \tag{1.1}$$

Date: File: moments-2011v2.tex Printed October 7, 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60E15 .

Key words and phrases. integrability, polynomial regression, quadratic harnesses.

For $s < t$, the one-sided conditional moments satisfy:

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t|X_s) = X_s,\ \mathbb{E}(X_s|X_t) = \frac{s}{t}X_t\,, \tag{1.2}$$

and there are constants $\eta, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma, \tau \in [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Var}(\mathrm{X_t}|\mathrm{X_s}) \leq \frac{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{s}}{1+\sigma \mathrm{s}}\left(1+\eta \mathrm{X_s}+\sigma \mathrm{X_s^2}\right), \tag{1.3}$$

$$\mathrm{Var}(\mathrm{X_s}|\mathrm{X_t}) \leq \frac{\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{s})}{\mathrm{t}+\tau}\left(1+\theta \mathrm{X_t}/\mathrm{t}+\tau \mathrm{X_t^2}/\mathrm{t}^2\right). \tag{1.4}$$

Our goal is to show that these expressions imply finiteness of moments of order $1/\sqrt{\sigma\tau}$, improving a bound in [Bryc et al.(2007), Theorem 2.5] who prove finiteness of moments of a logarithmic order $-\log(\sigma\tau)$.

Theorem 1.1. *If* $(X_t)_{t<0}$ *is a square-integrable process such that* (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), *and* (1.4) *hold for all* $s < t < u$ *with some* $\sigma\tau > 0$ *, then* $\mathbb{E}(|X_t|^p) < \infty$ *for all* $0 \leq p \leq \frac{1}{240\sqrt{\sigma\tau}}$.

Remark 1.2. From [Jamiołkowska(2009)] it follows that for any $\sigma = \tau > 0$ moments of order $2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma\tau}}$ may fail to exist. For comparison, Theorem 1.1 implies integrability of order $p \leq 1+\frac{1}{480\sqrt{\sigma\tau}}$. (Indeed, $1+\frac{1}{480\sqrt{\sigma\tau}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(2+\frac{1}{240\sqrt{\sigma\tau}}\right) \leq \max\left\{2, \frac{1}{240\sqrt{\sigma\tau}}\right\}$.)

Remark 1.3. Of course, if $\sigma\tau = 0$ then moments of any order $p > 0$ are finite. Since this is already known from [Bryc et al.(2007), Theorem 2.5], here we concentrate on the case $\sigma\tau > 0$.

2. PROOF

The proof is based on tail estimates introduced in [Bryc and Plucińska(1985)]; the main improvement over [Bryc et al.(2007), Theorem 2.5] comes from a more careful choice of random variables $X_{1/u}, X_u$ with u close to 1. Such a choice was suggested by [Szabłowski(1986), Theorem 2].

We shall deduce Theorem 1.1 from the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, if* $2 < p+1 \leq \frac{1}{240\sqrt{\sigma\tau}}$ *and* $\mathbb{E}(|X_t|^p) < \infty$ *for all* t*, then there exists* $t_0 > 0$ *such that* $\mathbb{E}(|X_{t_0}|^{p+1}) < \infty$.

We first show how Theorem 1.1 follows, and then we will prove Proposition 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It suffices to show that the moment of order $p_0 = \frac{1}{240\sqrt{\sigma\tau}}$ exists. Without loss of generality we may assume that $p_0 > 2$, that is we consider only $\sigma\tau$ small enough.

We apply Proposition 2.1 recursively. Define $p_k = p_0 - k$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, K$, where $K = \min\{k : p_0 - k \leq 2\}$. Then $K \geq 1$ so $2 < p_K + 1 \leq p_0 \leq \frac{1}{240\sqrt{\sigma\tau}}$ and by square-integrability of the process, $\mathbb{E}(|X_t|^{p_K}) < \infty$ for all t. So by Proposition 2.1, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}(|X_{t_0}|^{p_{K-1}}) < \infty$. However, (1.2) then implies that $\mathbb{E}(|X_t|^{p_{K-1}}) < \infty$ for all t. If $K = 1$, the recursion ends; otherwise, if $K \geq 2$, the recursion can be continued: by Proposition 2.1, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}(|X_{t_0}|^{p_{K-2}}) < \infty$, and so on. The recursion ends with $\mathbb{E}(|X_t|^{p_1+1}) = \mathbb{E}(|X_t|^{p_0}) < \infty$. □

2.1. **Proof of Proposition 2.1.** We first consider a pair of square-integrable random variables X, Y such that

$$\mathbb{E}((X-\rho Y)^2|Y) \leq A + B|Y| + (1-\rho)\rho\delta Y^2 \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathbb{E}((Y-\rho X)^2|X) \leq A + B|X| + (1-\rho)\rho\delta X^2 \tag{2.2}$$

for some constants $A, B \geq 0$. For small enough δ we have the following tail estimate.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose X, Y are square-integrable and satisfy* (2.1) *and* (2.2) *with some $1/2 < \rho < 1$, and $\delta < (1-\rho)/64$. Denote $N(t) = \Pr(|X| > t) + \Pr(|Y| > t)$ and let $K = 2/\rho - 1$. Then there are constants C_1, C_2 such that for $t > 0$,*

$$N(Kt) \leq \left(\frac{C_1}{t^2} + \frac{C_2}{t} + \frac{8\delta}{1-\rho}\right) N(t). \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Throughout the proof, C_1, C_2 denote generic positive constants that may change from line to line. As in the proof of [Bryc et al.(2007), Theorem 2.5], we write

$$N(Kt) \leq 2P_1(t) + P_2(t) + P_3(t), \tag{2.4}$$

where $P_1(t) = \Pr(|X| > t, |Y| > t)$, $P_2(t) = \Pr(|X| > Kt, |Y| \leq t)$, $P_3(t) = \Pr(|X| \leq t, |Y| > Kt)$. Next we observe that

$$P_1(t) \leq \Pr\left(|X-\rho Y| > \frac{(1-\rho)}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{Y^2+t^2}, |Y| > t\right) + \Pr\left(|Y-\rho X| > \frac{(1-\rho)}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{X^2+t^2}, |X| > t\right).$$

By (2.1), conditional Chebyschev's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr&\left(|X-\rho Y| > \frac{(1-\rho)}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{Y^2+t^2}, |Y| > t\right)\\ &\leq 2\int_{|Y|>t} \frac{A + B|Y| + (1-\rho)\rho\delta Y^2}{(1-\rho)^2(Y^2+t^2)} dP\\ &\leq \left(\frac{A}{(1-\rho)^2 t^2} + \frac{B}{(1-\rho)^2 t} + \frac{2\delta}{1-\rho}\right)\Pr(|Y| > t).\end{aligned}$$

(Here we also used a trivial bound $\rho \leq 1$.) Since a similar bound follows from (2.2), we get

$$P_1(t) \leq \left(\frac{C_1}{t^2} + \frac{C_2}{t} + \frac{2\delta}{1-\rho}\right) N(t), \tag{2.5}$$

Next, we use the trivial bound $|Y - \rho X| \geq \rho|X| - |Y|$ to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}P_2(t) &\leq \Pr(|X| > Kt, |Y-\rho X| > \rho|X| - t)\\ &\leq \Pr\left(|X| > Kt, |Y-\rho X| > \sqrt{2\delta\rho(1-\rho)}|X| + (\rho - \sqrt{2\delta\rho(1-\rho)})|X| - t\right)\\ &\leq \Pr\left(|X| > Kt, |Y-\rho X| > \sqrt{2\delta\rho(1-\rho)}|X| + (K(\rho - \sqrt{2\delta\rho(1-\rho)}) - 1)t\right).\end{aligned}$$

In the last bound, we already used the inequality $\rho - \sqrt{2\delta\rho(1-\rho)} > 0$, which we now further improve. Since $\rho > 1/2$ and $\delta < (1-\rho)/64$, we get $2\rho\delta < \rho(1-\rho)/2^5 <$

$\rho^4(1-\rho)/(2-\rho)^2$, and

$$K(\rho-\sqrt{2\delta\rho(1-\rho)}) > K(\rho-(1-\rho)\rho^2/(2-\rho)) = (2-\rho)\left(1-\frac{\rho(1-\rho)}{2-\rho}\right) = 1+(1-\rho)^2.$$

Therefore,

$$P_2(t) \leq \Pr\left(|X| > Kt, |Y-\rho X| > \sqrt{2\delta\rho(1-\rho)}|X| + (1-\rho)^2 t\right),$$

and from (2.2) we get

$$P_2(t) \leq \int_{|X|>Kt} \frac{A + B|X| + (1-\rho)\rho\delta X^2}{(\sqrt{2\delta\rho(1-\rho)}|X| + (1-\rho)^2 t)^2} dP.$$

Thus

$$P_2(t) \leq \left(\frac{C_1}{t^2} + \frac{C_2}{t}\right) N(t) + \frac{1}{2}\Pr(|X| > Kt),$$

and with similar bound applied to $P_3(t)$ we get

$$P_2(t) + P_3(t) \leq \left(\frac{C_1}{t^2} + \frac{C_2}{t}\right) N(t) + \frac{1}{2}N(Kt). \tag{2.6}$$

Combining (2.4) with (2.5) and (2.6) we get

$$N(Kt) \leq \left(\frac{C_1}{t^2} + \frac{C_2}{t} + \frac{4\delta}{1-\rho}\right) N(t) + \frac{1}{2}N(Kt).$$

Thus (2.3) follows. □

Next, we prove the integrability lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Fix $p > 1$ and a pair of square-integrable random variables X, Y such that $\mathbb{E}|X|^p + \mathbb{E}|Y|^p < \infty$. Suppose X, Y satisfy (2.1) and (2.2) with $\rho = 1 - 1/(p+1) < 1$, and $120\delta(p+1) < 1$. Then $\mathbb{E}|X|^{p+1} + \mathbb{E}|Y|^{p+1} < \infty$.*

Proof. Using the notation of Lemma 2.2, we want to show that if $M_1 = \int_0^\infty t^{p-2}N(t)dt < \infty$ and $M_2 = \int_0^\infty t^{p-1}N(t)dt < \infty$ then

$$\sup_{M>0} \int_0^M t^p N(t)dt < \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

Note that since $p > 1$, $M_1 < \infty$ is equivalent to integrability of $|X|^{p-1}+|Y|^{p-1}$. The latter follows by Hölder inequality from the assumed integrability of $|X|^p + |Y|^p$.

Since $p > 1$ implies that $1/2 < \rho < 1$ and by assumption we have $64\delta(1-\rho) = 64\delta(p+1) < 1$, from Lemma 2.2 we see that (2.3) holds. By a change of variable from (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^M t^p N(t)dt &= K^{p+1}\int_0^{M/K} t^p N(Kt)dt \\ &\leq C_1K^{p+1}\int_0^{M/K} t^{p-2}N(t)dt + C_2K^{p+1}\int_0^{M/K} t^{p-1}N(t)dt \\ &+ \frac{8\delta K^{p+1}}{1-\rho}\int_0^{M/K} t^p N(t)dt \leq C_1K^{p+1}M_1 + C_2K^{p+1}M_2 + \frac{8\delta K^{p+1}}{1-\rho}\int_0^M t^p N(t)dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

By our choice of $\rho = 1-1/(p+1)$, we have $(1-1/(p+1))^{p+1} > (1-1/(p+1))1/e > 1/(2e)$, so

$$K^{p+1} = \left(\frac{2-\rho}{\rho}\right)^{p+1} = \frac{\left(1+\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{p+1}}{\left(1-\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{p+1}} < 2e^2.$$

Thus $\frac{8\delta K^{p+1}}{1-\rho} < 16e^2\delta(p+1) < 120\delta(p+1) < 1$, and (2.8) implies that (2.7) holds. □

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Given $p > 1$, choose $\rho = 1-1/(p+1)$. Note that $\rho > 1/2$. Take

$$s = \frac{\rho\sqrt{\tau}}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\ t = \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\rho\sqrt{\sigma}}.$$

Then from (1.1) we get $\mathbb{E}(XY) = \rho$. From (1.3) and (1.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}((X-\rho Y)^2|Y) &\leq \frac{1-\rho^2}{1+\sqrt{\sigma\tau}\rho}\left(1+\theta\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt[4]{\frac{\sigma}{\tau}}Y+\rho\sqrt{\sigma\tau}Y^2\right),\\ \mathbb{E}((Y-\rho X)^2|X) &\leq \frac{1-\rho^2}{1+\sqrt{\sigma\tau}\rho}\left(1+\eta\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt[4]{\frac{\tau}{\sigma}}X+\ \rho\sqrt{\sigma\tau}X^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

So inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) hold with $\delta = 2\sqrt{\sigma\tau}$ for some constants $A, B \geq 0$. The result follows from Lemma 2.3. □

3. Integrability conjecture

Let $\delta > 0$. Recall that $(X_t)_{t\in T}$ is a quadratic harness on a non-empty open interval T with parameters $(\eta, \theta, \sigma, \tau, \gamma)$ if it satisfies (1.1), (1.2) for all $s < t$ in T, and in addition that (1.3) and (1.4) hold with equality, and that for all $s < t < u$ in T,

$$E(X_t|X_s, X_u) = \frac{u-t}{u-s}X_s + \frac{t-s}{u-s}X_t \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Var(X_t|X_s,X_u)} = \mathrm{F_{t,s,u}}\Big(1+\theta\frac{\mathrm{X_u-X_s}}{\mathrm{u-s}}+\eta\frac{\mathrm{uX_s-sX_u}}{\mathrm{u-s}}\\ +\tau\frac{(X_u-X_s)^2}{(u-s)^2}+\sigma\frac{(uX_s-sX_u)^2}{(u-s)^2}-(1-\gamma)\frac{(uX_s-sX_u)(X_u-X_s)}{(u-s)^2}\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$F_{t,s,u} = \frac{(u-t)(t-s)}{u(1+s\sigma)+\tau-s\gamma}.$$

Theorem 1.1 does not use (3.1), (3.2), so it does not use the all properties of a quadratic harness.

Conjecture 3.1. *Suppose that (X_t) is a quadratic harness on $(1-\delta, 1+\delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$.*

- (i) *If $0 < \sigma\tau < 1$ and $1-2\sqrt{\sigma\tau} \leq \gamma \leq 1+2\sqrt{\sigma\tau}$, then $\mathbb{E}(|X_t|^p) < \infty$ for all $0 \leq p < 2+\frac{1}{\sigma\tau}$.*
- (ii) *If $\sigma\tau$ is small enough and $-1 \leq \gamma \leq 1-2\sqrt{\sigma\tau}$, then $\mathbb{E}(|X_t|^p) < \infty$ for all $p \geq 0$.*

By Theorem 1.1, Conjecture 3.1 is true for $\sigma\tau = 0$, and this result has essentially been known, although it was stated only for quadratic harnesses on $(0, \infty)$. An even stronger version of Conjecture 3.1(ii), formulated by J. Wesołowski, says that if $-1 \le \gamma < 1 - 2\sqrt{\sigma\tau}$ and $\sigma\tau$ is small enough then X_t is bounded. Here we indicate that this stronger version of Conjecture 3.1(ii) holds true for $\gamma = -1$.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that* (X_t) *is a quadratic harness on* $(1-\delta, 1+\delta)$ *for some* $\delta > 0$*, with parameters* $\gamma = -1$ *and* $\sigma\tau < 1/921600 \approx 10^{-6}$*. Then for every* $t \in (1-\delta, 1+\delta)$*, random variable* X_t *has (at most) two values.*

Sketch of proof. Since $\sigma\tau < 1/921600$, from Theorem 1.1 we deduce that the 4-th moments exist. From a longer calculation based on the arguments in the proof of [Bryc et al.(2007), Lemma 3.5], one can obtain the formulas for the first four moments, and compute the Hankel determinant

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & t \\ 0 & t & \mathbb{E}(X_t^3) \\ t & \mathbb{E}(X_t^3) & \mathbb{E}(X_t^4) \end{bmatrix} = (1+\gamma) \frac{(t+\tau)(1+t\sigma)\left((\eta\tau+\theta)(\eta+\theta\sigma)+(1-\sigma\tau)^2\right)}{1-(2+\gamma)\sigma\tau}.$$

Since $\gamma = -1$, the Hankel determinant is zero, and the distribution is concentrated on two points. ☐

Acknowledgement. This research was partially supported by NSF grant #DMS-0904720. The author thanks Politechnika Warszawska for its hospitality during the work on the paper. The research benefited from several discussions with Jacek Wesołowski.

References

[Bryc(2001)] Bryc, W. (2001). Stationary random fields with linear regressions. *Annals of Probability*, 29:504–519.

[Bryc et al.(2007)] Bryc, W., Matysiak, W., and Wesołowski, J. (2007). Quadratic harnesses, q-commutations, and orthogonal martingale polynomials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359:5449–5483. arxiv.org/abs/math.PR/0504194.

[Bryc and Plucińska(1985)] Bryc, W. and Plucińska, A. (1985). A characterization of infinite Gaussian sequences by conditional moments. *Sankhya A*, 47:166–173.

[Bryc and Wesołowski(2010)] Bryc, W. and Wesołowski, J. (2010). Askey–Wilson polynomials, quadratic harnesses and martingales. *Ann. Probab.*, 38(3):1221–1262.

[Jamiołkowska(2009)] Jamiołkowska, M. (2009). Bi-Pascal process – definition and properties. Master's thesis, Warsaw University of Technology.

[Plucińska(1983)] Plucińska, A. (1983). On a stochastic process determined by the conditional expectation and the conditional variance. *Stochastics*, 10:115–129.

[Szabłowski(1986)] Szabłowski, P. (1986). Some remarks on two-dimensional elliptically contoured measures with second moments. *Demonstratio Math.*, 19(4):915–929 (1987).

[Szabłowski(1989)] Szabłowski, P. J. (1989). Can the first two conditional moments identify a mean square differentiable process? *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 18(4):329–348.

[Wesołowski(1993)] Wesołowski, J. (1993). Stochastic processes with linear conditional expectation and quadratic conditional variance. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 14:33–44.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cincinnati, PO Box 210025, Cincinnati, OH 45221–0025, USA

E-mail address: `brycw@math.uc.edu`